

How Did William Shakespeare Die

How Did William Shakespeare Die

Downloaded from turn-nyc-edge-vdmdp.louper.io by Sierra & Heath

THE ENDURING MYSTERY: HOW DID WILLIAM SHAKESPEARE DIE?

For over four hundred years, the works of William Shakespeare have captivated audiences around the world. We study his sonnets, we perform his plays, and we analyze his characters. Yet, for all the ink spilled on his life and work, one simple question remains surprisingly difficult to answer with certainty: **how did William Shakespeare die?** Unlike his dramatic characters, whose exits are often grand and explicit, the Bard's own final scene is frustratingly vague. The records are sparse, the evidence is circumstantial, and the theories are plentiful. This article delves deep into the historical evidence, examines the most popular theories, and explores why the exact cause of Shakespeare's death at the age of 52 remains one of literature's most enduring enigmas.

To understand the mystery, we must first travel back to Stratford-upon-Avon in the spring of 1616. Shakespeare had retired from London and the Globe Theatre a few years earlier, settling into his grand family home, New Place. He was a wealthy landowner, a respected member of the community, and a family man. By all accounts, he should have had many more years of quiet comfort ahead of him. But on April 23, 1616—traditionally his 52nd birthday—Shakespeare took his final breath. The date is fittingly poetic, but the circumstances surrounding his departure are anything but clear.

THE ONLY DIRECT HISTORICAL ACCOUNT

The most significant clue we have regarding **how did William Shakespeare die** comes from a diary entry written over fifty years after the event. The Reverend John Ward, who served as the vicar of Stratford-upon-Avon from 1662 to 1681, recorded a local anecdote in his notebook. Ward was a curious man who took a keen interest in the history of his parish, and his writings offer a tantalizing, if second-hand, glimpse into the playwright's final days.

Ward's entry, dated 1664, states: "*Shakespeare, Drayton, and Ben Jonson had a merry meeting, and it seems drank too hard, for Shakespeare died of a fever there contracted.*"

This single sentence forms the bedrock of the most famous theory about Shakespeare's death. It suggests a lively party involving two other prominent literary figures of the era—the poet Michael Drayton and the playwright Ben Jonson—that ended tragically. The idea of the world's greatest writer succumbing to a fever brought on by a night of heavy drinking is, in some ways, a perfectly human and relatable end. However, historians urge caution. Ward was not an eyewitness, he was recording local gossip decades later, and he offers no specific dates or details about this "merry meeting." Is it true? Possibly. Is it proven? Absolutely not.

The "Merry Meeting"

Theory: Alcohol Poisoning or Typhoid?

The "merry meeting" theory is the most popular answer to the question **how did William Shakespeare die**, but it requires careful unpacking. What exactly was the "fever" Ward mentioned? In the 17th century, the term "fever" was a catch-all description for any illness that caused a high body temperature. It could mean anything from a common cold to a deadly infection.

One modern interpretation is that Shakespeare may have contracted **typhoid fever**. Typhoid was a common and often fatal disease in Jacobean England, spread through contaminated food or water. The symptoms include a sustained high fever, abdominal pain, and extreme weakness. If Shakespeare drank contaminated ale or water at his party, he could have easily fallen ill. The timeline—becoming sick after a social gathering and dying a few days or weeks later—fits the progression of the disease.

Another possibility is **alcohol poisoning** or a related complication. Drinking "too hard" in the 1600s meant consuming strong, often unpurified, alcoholic beverages. A sudden, massive intake of alcohol could lead to acute pancreatitis, liver failure, or a severe immune response that might have been described as a "fever." However, the wording suggests he contracted a fever *after* the drinking, implying an infection rather than a direct toxic reaction.

While the "merry meeting" story is charming and widely circulated, it remains an unverifiable anecdote. It provides a narrative but not a definitive medical diagnosis.

ALTERNATIVE MEDICAL THEORIES

Since there is no autopsy report or physician's note, historians have had to play detective, using Shakespeare's will, local records, and knowledge of 17th-century diseases to form other theories regarding **how did William Shakespeare die**.

The Syphilis Hypothesis

A darker and more controversial theory suggests that Shakespeare died from complications of **syphilis**. This sexually transmitted disease was rampant in London's theatrical and social circles during his lifetime. The argument for this theory often points to several aspects of Shakespeare's life and work.

- **His will:** Shakespeare's will is famously detailed about his material possessions but rather sparse in its emotional content. He left his wife, Anne Hathaway, his "second-best bed," a detail that has fueled endless speculation about their marriage. Some argue that a man suffering from a long-term, debilitating illness might have been estranged from his wife or that the "second-best bed" was a practical, not an insulting, bequest.
- **Physical signs:** A 17th-century vicar described the playwright as being "somewhat of a handsome well-shaped man." This has been used to argue against a visible, disfiguring disease, but syphilis in its tertiary stage can affect the brain, heart, and nervous system, not just the

skin.

- **The subject matter:** Shakespeare wrote extensively about venereal disease, often with a darkly comic edge. In **Troilus and Cressida**, he delivers a long monologue on the "pox." While this proves nothing about his own health, some scholars suggest it reflects a personal familiarity with the subject.

The syphilis theory suggests he died from a stroke or a neurological collapse caused by the long-term effects of the disease. However, there is no direct evidence to support this, and many historians consider it speculative and based on circumstantial inference rather than hard facts.

The Cancer Theory

Another possibility is that Shakespeare died from **cancer**. The signature on his will, executed just a month before his death, has been used as evidence. Some graphologists and historians have noted that the signature appears shaky, hurried, or "disturbed" compared to earlier, more confident samples. While this could simply be a sign of old age or a rushed signing, it has been interpreted by some as evidence of a physical tremor or weakness associated with a wasting illness like cancer.

Furthermore, the speed of his decline is notable. He was active and writing in 1613 (collaborating on **The Two Noble Kinsmen** and **Henry VIII**) and had just overseen the purchase of a new property. A rapid decline over a few months to a year would be consistent with an aggressive form of cancer, such as pancreatic or liver cancer. Again, this is pure speculation, but it fits the profile of a previously healthy man who suddenly becomes fatally ill.

THE CURIOUS CASE OF SHAKESPEARE’S WILL

Shakespeare’s will is a powerful document when exploring **how did William Shakespeare die**. It was signed on March 25, 1616, just under a month before his death. The haste with which the will was drafted—it appears to have been amended and signed in a single day—suggests the playwright was acutely aware of his impending mortality.

The will provides valuable insight into his final state of mind and physical condition. As mentioned, the "second-best bed" bequest to his wife is endlessly debated. More practically, the will meticulously distributes his wealth, land, and household goods among his daughters, Susanna and Judith, and his sister. It mentions specific sums of money for the poor of Stratford and for his friends to buy mourning rings. This level of detail implies he had time to think, even if his health was failing.

Some historians have argued that the nature of the will suggests he had been ill for some time. The careful distribution of property and the specific mention of smaller items indicate a man who was planning his exit, not one who was struck down suddenly by a heart attack or apoplexy. This tends to favor theories involving a lingering illness, such as typhoid fever, cancer, or the slow progression of syphilis, rather than a sudden, dramatic death.

THE SHAKESPEAREAN "CURSE" AND HIS GRAVE

Further fueling the mystique of Shakespeare’s death is the epitaph carved into his gravestone in Holy Trinity Church,

Stratford-upon-Avon. The verse, widely believed to have been written by the Bard himself, reads:

*"Good friend, for Jesus' sake forbear
To dig the dust enclosed here.
Blessed be the man that spares these stones,
And cursed be he that moves my bones."*

This curse is unusual and has prompted a great deal of speculation. Why was Shakespeare so anxious about his remains being disturbed? One folk legend suggests that he left the gravestone unpainted or unmarked specifically to avoid having his body moved to a charnel house (a building for storing bones) after a certain number of years, which was common practice at the time. The curse was his final, forceful demand for undisturbed rest.

In a more sensational theory, some have speculated that the curse was a cover-up. Did Shakespeare die with a secret? Was there something about his remains that he didn't want anyone to find? In the 18th and 19th centuries, there were lurid rumors that his grave contained a hidden manuscript, a confession, or even proof of a different authorship. While these theories have no basis in fact, they highlight how the lack of information about **how did William Shakespeare die** has allowed the imagination to run wild for centuries. The curse, in a way, is the final, ironic act of a man who left almost no personal records behind him.

WHY THE MYSTERY PERSISTS

The primary reason we cannot definitively answer **how did William Shakespeare die** is the simple lack of surviving medical records. In the 17th century, there was no standard system for death certificates or coroner's inquests for private citizens. The wealthy might have a physician's notes, but those for Shakespeare have been lost to time. Furthermore, the precise, detailed biographies we expect today did not exist. People did not write lengthy accounts of the deaths of poets and playwrights; they moved on.

Moreover, the fire that destroyed the Globe Theatre in 1613 may have consumed a vast amount of personal papers and documents. Shakespeare’s own family line ended with his grandchildren, meaning there was no direct descendant to preserve or pass down oral histories. The records that do survive—his tax documents, court records, property deeds, and his will—are all legal and financial. They tell us about his business, but almost nothing about his heart or his health.

The mystery of Shakespeare’s death is therefore a direct consequence of the privacy he so successfully maintained throughout his life. He was a public figure in London, but a very private man. He left behind no diary, no series of personal letters, and no memoir. We are left with a handful of facts and a wealth of speculation.

CONCLUSION: THE FINAL VERDICT ON SHAKESPEARE’S DEATH

So, **how did William Shakespeare die**? After examining the evidence, the most plausible answer remains the one offered by John Ward: a fever contracted after a "merry meeting" with his friends. While the story is anecdotal and over fifty years removed from the event, it is the only contemporary account we have. The most likely medical explanation, given the understanding of disease at the time, is that he died from typh